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Under Secretary to the Govt. of India
Minutes of the Preliminary Meeting to examine the proposals before holding of the 2nd Central Grant-in-Aid Committee Meeting (CGIAC) for the Infrastructure Development in Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes (Elementary/Secondary/Senior Secondary Schools) (IDMI) and the Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarasas (SPQEM) during the year 2015-16 held on 22nd December, 2015 at 4.00 p.m. in Conference Hall, Room No. 112 -C, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi (List of participants at Annexure)

1. A Preliminary Meeting to examine the proposals before holding of the 2nd Central Grant-in-Aid Committee Meeting (CGIAC) for the Infrastructure Development in Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes (Elementary/Secondary/Senior Secondary Schools) (IDMI) and the Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarasas (SPQEM) during the year 2015-16 was held on 22.12.2015 at 4.00 p.m. A list of participants is attached at Annexure.

The minutes of the 1st CGIAIC held on 15.09.2015 were circulated among all present.

2. Dr. Meenakshi Jolly, Director (SE&L) welcomed all the participants on behalf of the Additional Secretary (SE). All the important directions/suggestions relating to both the schemes, i.e., SPQEM and IDMI, which were made in the last CGIAC meeting held on 15.09.2015 were reiterated as detailed hereinafter:

2.1 Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarasas (SPQEM):

1. All proposals, fresh as well as renewal, should be recommended by the State GIAC.

2. The proposals under SPQEM should be submitted under one madarsa lot only and separate lots should be avoided in order to avoid duplication and to facilitate processing by the GOI.

3. The State Governments should confirm that the proposal for honorarium of teachers be restricted to 3 teachers per madarsa and certify this fact in the proposal itself.

4. Basic data regarding number of students/teachers should be available with the proposals under SPQEM.

5. UDISE code should be given to all Madarasas seeking grant under SPQEM.

2.2 Infrastructure Development Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes (Elementary Secondary/Senior Secondary Schools) (IDMI):

1. All proposals should be recommended by the State GIAC as per the Scheme. It is the responsibility of the State GIAC to check that the proposals are complete in all respects. If necessary, physical verification of the institutions should also be carried out.

2. The proposals should be submitted by the State GIAC in order of priority. The most deserving cases should be given preference during evaluation as already stipulated in the Scheme.

3. Existence of a Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBV) in the vicinity should be taken into consideration before recommending a girls hostel building.

4. The minority certificate should be submitted by the competent authority/authorized officer of the State Government/UT.
5. In case of proposals for IDMI, the number of existing toilets should be given.

6. The State authorities should examine and scrutinize the proposals in advance thoroughly so that the proposals are complete and are as per specified guidelines of the Scheme.

7. In so far as Society Registration Certificate is concerned, duly authenticated photocopy of the actual registration certificate issued by the competent authority as declared by the State Govt./UT should be submitted.

2.3 It was pointed out to all the State representatives that annual progress report of implementation of the Scheme of IDMI also needs to be submitted by the State. It was also mentioned that all the certificates should be either in English or Hindi and if the certificates are in regional language then a certified true copy of the same in English must be furnished.

2.4 All the representatives of States present in the meeting were informed that a certificate from the State Authorities delegating the power of issuing a Minority Certificate to a competent authority must be submitted along with the proposal.

3. Thereafter, State-wise proposals for SPQEM and IDMI were discussed with the State representatives.

3.1 RENEWAL PROPOSALS

3.1.1 UTTAR PRADESH

Special Secretary, Minority Welfare Department, Uttar Pradesh, informed that they have completed the exercise of combining all the Madarsa lots into one Madarsa lot and they have also given a unique ID code to each and every madarsa. The State GIAC recommendation form and other relevant papers were handed over by the representative of the State Government to MHRD during the meeting itself.

3.1.2 CHHATTISGARH

There were two renewal proposals from Chhattisgarh:

(i) 239 (181+58) Madarsas for the year 2014-15

   The State GIAC recommendations have now been received and the proposal will be examined further.

(ii) 214 (162+52) Madarsas for the year 2015-16

   It was pointed out that the State GIAC recommendation form has not been received. The State representatives have been directed to submit the same. They were also directed to submit the proposal in a single lot.
3.1.3 MADHYA PRADESH

1683 (1490+194) for the year 2015-2016

The State Government was directed to send the complete proposal alongwith State GIAC recommendation form. Three Utilization Certificates in respect of 1490+194+115 madarsas have now been received in the Department on 22.12.2015 itself. The State has been requested to submit the other relevant documents.

3.1.4 KERALA


The State Government of Kerala has forwarded the proposal of 547 madarsas for 4 years from 2011-12 to 2014-15. However, as per the norms of the Scheme, the State Government has claim the (renewal grant) annually. The Scheme guidelines also mandate ‘No claim for recurring grants will be admissible if such claim is not made within one year of the previous grant’.

It was pointed out that the State Government has also not provided the Utilization Certificate and Audited Statement of accounts till date for the amount of Rs. 776.88 lakh released as 2nd instalment for the year 2010-11. The Department has also written several letters for the same but no reply has been received from the State Government.

The Central Grants in Aid Committee had made an observation in the last meeting held on 15.09.2015 that only full time madarsas can be given necessary grant and those working on holidays and on part time basis cannot be funded under SPQEM. Necessary explanation to this effect needs to be furnished by the State Government. The Committee had decided that no further grant can be granted and Secretary (SE&L) directed that all pending UCs should be submitted by the Government of Kerala.

It was also pointed out that in case no UC is furnished and some amount still remains unutilized then the unutilized amount should be refunded.

3.1.5 UTTARAKHAND

The State Government of Uttarakhand had submitted proposals in respect of 74 madarsas & 50 madarsas for the year 2015-16 and the proposals in respect of 35 and 35 (32+3) madarsas for the year 2014-15 and 2015-16. It was observed that the proposals were not recommended by the State GIAC.
The above-mentioned proposals for 2014-15 and 2015-16 were considered by the Committee. The Committee in its meeting held on 15.09.2015 had deferred the proposals of 74 & 50 madrasas for the year 2015-16 and 35 and 35 (32+3) madrasas for the year 2014-15 and 2015-16 as it was not duly recommended by SGIAC. The State Government representative handed over SGIAC recommendation on the day of this preliminary meeting for 35 and 35 (32+3) madrasas lots for the year 2014-15 and for 194 madarsa lots for the year 2015-16 which are under examination.

3.1.6 JHARKHAND

110 Madarsas

The Government of Jharkhand had forwarded the proposals for the year 2013-14 and for 2014-15 for 110 renewal proposals. The proposal was placed before the CGIAC in its meeting held on 10.03.2015 and was deferred in absence of the State Government representative and absence of State GIAC recommendation.

The State Government has submitted demand drafts for Rs. 24,81,890/- of unutilized amount which have been submitted to PAO for depositing the same in GOI account. The SGIAC recommendation has now been received.

3.1.7 TRIPURA (129 Madarsas)

The proposal for 129 madarsas for the year 2015-16 was considered by the Committee. UC and Audited Statement of Accounts submitted by the State Government reflect that the expenditure has not been incurred in accordance with the items for which the grant was released. A clarification was sought from the State Government. The requisite information from the State Government is still awaited. It was once again reiterated that the proposal can be considered only after the receipt of the requisite clarification from the State Government.

3.2 FRESH PROPOSALS

3.2.1 TRIPURA (129 Madarsas)

It is noticed that as per norms of SPQEM, Under Graduate Teachers are not entitled for honorarium, whereas, mention has been made in the proposal about training costs of teachers including Under Graduate teachers. A clarification has been sought from the State Government and the proposal can be taken up only after the receipt of clarification from the State Government. In the proposal details regarding amount for Library/Book Bank and Science and Maths Kit are missing. The State Government representative has been informed about the shortcomings in the proposal for necessary action.
3.2.2 CHHATTISGARH
2 Madarsas for the year 2015-16

The SGIAC recommendation has been submitted. The proposals are not in proper format. The State Government representative has been informed about the shortcomings in the proposal for necessary action.

3.2.3 UTTARAKHAND
(i) 34 (26+8) Madarsa for the year 2014-15

In the last meeting since the SGIAC recommendation form had been received only on 11.09.2015, and it was reiterated that the relevant documents should be sent about fortnight in advance before the meeting to enable a proper examination of the proposal and therefore, it was deferred. The State GJAC recommendation has now been received and the proposal is under examination.

(ii) 23 Madarsas for the year 2015-16

The State Government representative has been asked to combine the various madarsas lots into one madarsa lot and each madarsa should be given UDISE code or a unique code.

3.2.4 KERALA

The State Government had not provided break-up of the number of Graduate and Post Graduate teachers and the details of grant sought for these teachers and for other components. Accordingly, the Committee CGJAC in its meeting held on 15.09.2015 had directed that the necessary clarification/documents may please be submitted to facilitate examination of the proposal. Clarification from the State Government is still awaited.

3.2.5 UTTAR PRADESH
1506 Madarsa for the year 2015-16

The Committee had considered the proposals and directed that the SGIAC recommendation should be forwarded and details of all madarsas names along with details about teachers should be given. For each madarsas the DISe code must be mentioned. If the same is not available, a unique code must be mentioned in the proposal for assistance under the scheme. The Committee had deferred the proposal of new 1506 madarsas for the year 2015-16 for the next meeting.

In the meeting, the State Government representative informed that they have consolidated all the proposals under different madarsa lots into one major lot and they had given a unique number to each and every madarsa.

We have received proposals under IDMI from State Government of Manipur, Nagaland, Kerala, Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram, Maharashtra, Gujarat and Sikkim. While examining the proposals, it was noticed that most of the proposals did not include the necessary certificates/documents such as School Registration Certificate, Society Registration Certificate, Minority Status Certificate, signed GIAC recommendation form etc. which are mandatory as per IDMI scheme guidelines.

4.1. ARUNACHAL PRADESH:

The Central GIAC in the meeting held on 15.09.2015 had directed the State Government representative to give directions to the institutions namely Siang Model School, At Pasighat, Post Pasighat District East Siang, AP- 791102 and Buddha Culture & Education Foundation School, Lumia Tawang District, AP, to deposit 25% share in their account first.

The State Government representative had informed that the amount has been deposited by the Institutes. The Committee had observed that the minority certificate submitted was from district level. It should be certified by an officer designated for this purpose by the State Government. The case was deferred for the next meeting and it was directed that an appropriate minority certificate should be submitted.

Minority certificate issued by Directorate of Secondary Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh has been received. No State Government representative was present in the meeting.

4.2 MANIPUR

The CGIAC had observed that the State GIAC recommendation form was not signed by the Secretary of the SGJAC. The Committee deferred that the proposal with the direction that the State GIAC recommendation should be submitted again and the proposal should be complete in all respects. The State Government representative was informed about the shortcomings in the proposal for suitable remedial action.

4.3 NAGALAND

The Government of Nagaland had forwarded 5 proposals under IDMI for consideration of the Central GIAC which were placed in the previous two meetings, dated 10th March, 2015 & 15th September, 2015 respectively but was deferred in absence of the State Government representative in both the meetings. The proposal also lacked certain requisite certificates such as School Registration Certificate, Society Registration Certificate, Minority Status Certificate and signed State GIAC recommendation form,
etc. The proposal was deferred with the direction that all such proposals should be submitted again complete in all respects. The State Government was requested to forward these documents but no reply has been received from the State Government till date. No State Government representative was present in this preliminary meeting.

4.4 GUJARAT

The State Government of Gujarat has forwarded the following 26 proposals under IDMI for consideration of CGIAC. However, most of the documents/Certificates are in the State language Gujarati. Therefore, it was also not possible to examine the same. The Department had also written to the State Government to forward the translated certificates in English. The Gujarat Government has forwarded relevant documents vide their letter no. BMS-1112-67-G dated 19.12.2015 which was received on 21.12.2015, one day before the preliminary meeting. The relevant papers are yet to be examined.

4.5 MAHARASHTRA

The Government of Maharashtra has forwarded 87 proposals. The detailed proposals from the State of Maharashtra have been received on 21.12.2015, one day before the meeting. The relevant documents are yet to be examined.

4.6 KERALA

The Government of Kerala had forwarded 224 proposals under IDMI for consideration of Central GIAC which were placed in the previous meeting of the Central GIAC but could not be considered and were deferred in absence of the State Govt. representative. Besides, the State Govt. has forwarded 50 more new proposals for the consideration of CGIAC. The State GIAC recommendations forms in respect of 4 institutions out of 50 proposals and 34 institutions out of 224 proposals have been received. It seems that in place of Society Registration Certificate/ Trust Deed has been attached and in some of the proposals, Society Registration Certificate is not clear or signed. SGIA Certificate and Society Registration Certificates have been forwarded and in some cases instead of Society Registration Certificates, Trust Deed certificates have been forwarded. The State Government representative was informed about the shortcomings of the proposals for necessary action.

4.7 UTTARAKHAND

The State Government had submitted a fresh proposal under IDMI for consideration. There is no information available as regards infrastructure. The State Government representative has been informed about the shortcomings in the proposal for necessary action. The State Government representatives were informed about the shortcomings in the proposal for suitable remedial action.
4.8 SIKKIM

The Committee in the last CGIAC meeting held on 15.09.2015 had approved the proposal at S.No. 13 with an observation that 25% of the amount be deposited first. In case of remaining 12 proposals, some of the proposals were not eligible as per the prescribed norms of Student Classroom Ratio (SCR), i.e., 40:1 and others were not eligible due to absence of requisite certificates. No documents have been received from the State Government. At the time of Meeting, some of the requisite certificates were handed over by the State Government representative, which are yet to be examined.

4.9 MIZORAM

It was pointed out to the State Government representative, that the priority number is not mentioned in the prescribed proforma and except two proposals, details regarding available infrastructure are not mentioned. Only two schools are registered under the Society Registration Act. The State representative was informed about the shortcomings in the proposal for suitable remedial action.

5. Chairman NIOS also made certain very valuable observations. He emphasized on the restructuring of role assigned to NIOS with respect to SPQEM Scheme. He observed that there should be more communication between NIOS and states/madarsas boards so as to improve the quality since the quality has been diluted. We must orient the teachers and have a check on their qualifications and their experience as well. The NIOS should also have data pertaining to students from madarsas and the same should be shared with MHRD as well. There is a need to improve the quality of education and the education system should be made more robust.

6. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Name and Designation</th>
<th>Full Address</th>
<th>Phone No.</th>
<th>Email ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rais Ahmad, Deputy Director, Minority Uttarakhand</td>
<td>Bhagat Singh Colony, Dehradun (Uttarakhand)</td>
<td>9927699115, 0135-2780122</td>
<td><a href="mailto:raisahmaduk@gmail.com">raisahmaduk@gmail.com</a>, <a href="mailto:ukdirectorminority@gmail.com">ukdirectorminority@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shahanshan AU Khan, Sec. to Resident Commissioner Jharkhand Bhawan</td>
<td>Jharkhand Bhawan, Vasant Vihar, N. Delhi</td>
<td>9958866788</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jhbbawan@gmail.com">jhbbawan@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K.D. Shangdene, Dy. Director, HRDD, Gangtok</td>
<td>H.R.D.D, Govt of Sikkim, Gangtok, Sikkim</td>
<td>9434357314</td>
<td><a href="mailto:karmadoma17@gmail.com">karmadoma17@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Abdus Salam, Joint Secretary (EdudS)</td>
<td>Manipur Secretariat, Govt. of manipur, North Block, 1st Floor, R/No. 49</td>
<td>9612893612</td>
<td><a href="mailto:abdussalam.dec@gmail.com">abdussalam.dec@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Md. Selim, OSD, ASE</td>
<td>Directorate of School Education, Govt of Tripura Agartala</td>
<td>9856620056</td>
<td><a href="mailto:directorse02@gmail.com">directorse02@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mohammed Iqubal</td>
<td>Secretary Chhattisgarh Madarsa Board, Raipur</td>
<td>9993322475</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cgmh.rapur@gmail.com">cgmh.rapur@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Syed Sarwar Husain Rizvi</td>
<td>Joint Director, Directorate of Public Instruction Bhopal</td>
<td>9425485196</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sarwarrizvi786@gmail.com">sarwarrizvi786@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M. Shafqat Kamal, Special Secretary</td>
<td>Room No. 26 Bahukhandi Bhawan, U.P. Secretrat Lucknow</td>
<td>9997395111</td>
<td><a href="mailto:shafqatkamal@gmail.com">shafqatkamal@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mohd. Tariq, Deputy Director Minority Welfare Deptt. UP</td>
<td>620 India Bhawan Lucknow</td>
<td>0522-2287213, 08400001786</td>
<td><a href="mailto:spgm.minw-up@gov.in">spgm.minw-up@gov.in</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>T.N. Supe, Dy. Adult/ Minox Education-Pune Maharashtra</td>
<td>Maharashtra Sadan-New</td>
<td>8308113777</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>David Lalthang hang OSD, Home Govt. of Mizoram</td>
<td></td>
<td>9436195233</td>
<td><a href="mailto:david.thangliana@gmail.com">david.thangliana@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dr. Kodom AL. Ahmad, Director Madarssas Education, Assam</td>
<td>Kahlipara, Gauhati-19 State- Assam</td>
<td>9435206229</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kodomaliahmad.dme@gmail.com">kodomaliahmad.dme@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Nandan Nangare</td>
<td>SLMA Director Maharashtra</td>
<td>9423438505</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nandan1020@gmail.com">nandan1020@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M.S. Jaya, Director of Public Instruction</td>
<td>Director of public instruction, DPI Junction, Trivandrum</td>
<td>9447625106</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dpisecretsection@gmail.com">dpisecretsection@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>