Subject: Meeting of Evaluation Committee (EC) on the opening and evaluation of financial bids for the Evaluation of Schemes for Providing Quality Education in Madrasas (SPQEM) and Infrastructure Development Minority Institutions (IDMI)

1. The meeting of the Sub-Committee of the Evaluation Committee (EC) on the opening and evaluation of technical bids for the Evaluation of Schemes for Providing Quality Education in Madrasas (SPQEM) and Infrastructure Development Minority Institutions (IDMI) was held under the chairpersonship of Ms. Anita Karwal, Joint Secretary (SE & L) on 20th July, 2017 at 16:00 Hours to open the bid in the Ministry of Human Resource Development at Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

2. The following members of the Sub-Committee of the Evaluation Committee (EC) were present:
   i. Ms. Anita Karwal, Joint Secretary (SE & L) in chair
   ii. Dr. (Ms.) Meenakshi Jolly, Director (EE-II)
   iii. Ms. Surbhi Jain, Director (EE-II)
   iv. Ms. Rashi Sharma, Director (EE-II)
   v. Mr. Anil Kakria, Deputy Secretary (Finance)

3. The committee met again on 21st July, 2017 at 17:00 Hours to analyse the bid. The following members of the Sub-Committee of the Evaluation Committee (EC) were present:
   i. Ms. Anita Karwal, Joint Secretary (SE & L) in chair
   ii. Dr. (Ms.) Meenakshi Jolly, Director (EE-II)
   iii. Ms. Surbhi Jain, Director (EE-II)
   iv. Ms. Rashi Sharma, Director (EE-II)

The committee granted leave of absence to Mr. Anil Kakria, Deputy Secretary (Finance).

4. In the previous meeting held on 13th July 2017 The Committee observed that though the limited tender inquiry was invited from 21 prospective bidders, only one proposal from the Giri Institute of Development Studies (GIDS), Lucknow was uploaded in the CPP portal at the time of the opening of the technical bid. The Committee examined the technical proposal of Giri institute of Development and found all the documents in order.

5. The Committee further observed that in spite of concerted efforts, the response at the first instance was not encouraging and during the second attempt also the response was found
to be very poor. The committee therefore observed that organizations are not responding for the services and in the absence of any alternative the Committee recommended that the proposal of Giri Institute may be considered and the financial bid may be opened if it meets the criteria of financial limit laid down for the LTE.

6. After opening the CPP portal the financial bid was opened and it was found that the amount of total cost mentioned in the Financial Bid Submission form **FORM FIN-1(A)** was Rs. 39,03,900/- however the summary of cost as mentioned in **FORM FIN-1(B)** the amount mentioned was Rs. 22,00,000/-. (see Annexure)

7. In aforesaid situation the Committee went through the Clause 14.4.2 of the RFP document which states as:

"14.4.2 The Consultant is deemed to have included all prices in its financial proposal so neither arithmetic corrections nor any other price adjustment shall be made by CEC. Therefore, the correctness of all prices quoted in the Financial Bid will solely be the responsibility of the bidder. In case of any discrepancy found in the financial bid, the same shall be liable for rejection."

8. The Committee concluded that due to variance in the quoted price in **FORM FIN-1(A) & FORM FIN-1(B)**; and as per the provision of the above clause of RFP, the bids stands rejected.

9. The Meeting ended with thanks to all present
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