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Minutes of the 1st meeting of the Central-Grant-in-Aid Committee for the Centrally Sponsored Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas (SPQEM) and Scheme for Infrastructure Development Private Aided/Unaided Minority Institutes (Elementary Secondary/ Senior Secondary Schools) (IDMI) for the year 2015-16 held on 15.09.2015 at 11.00 a.m. under the Chairmanship of Secretary (School Education & Literacy) (List of participants at Annexure-I)

1. The 1st meeting of the Central Grant-in-Aid Committee (CGIAC) for the year 2015-16 for considering proposals under the Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas (SPQEM) and Scheme for Infrastructure Development of Private Aided/ Unaided Minority Institutes (Elementary Secondary/ Senior Secondary Schools) (IDMI) was held on 15.09.2015 at 11.00 a.m. under the Chairmanship of Dr. S.C. Khuntia, Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy. A list of participants is attached at Annexure.

2. Mrs. Rina Ray, Addl. Secretary (SE&L) welcomed the chairman and all the participants and mentioned at the outset that in the light of sub Committee-IV (non compliance by Ministries/Department in timely submission of Action Taken Notes on the non-selected Audit Paragraphs/ Civil and other Ministries) of Public Accounts Committee (PAC) held on 23.03.2015 observations relating to release of grant under the scheme of SPQEM, more caution needs to be observed and a proper methodology has to be adopted for submission of proposals in a proper format as per the schematic guidelines. She stated that a training cum workshop session would be organized with the states implementing the schemes of SPQEM and IDMI by the Department of School Education & Literacy, explaining the methodology of submitting proposals in a proper format as per the schematic guidelines, in New Delhi so as to create greater awareness regarding the norms of the schemes of SPQEM and IDMI. This would also facilitate smooth and speedy disposals of proposals received under the schemes.

3. AS (SE) further pointed out that sometimes proposals were forwarded by the State Government without ascertaining the availability of necessary documents with the proposals, such as school registration certificate, society registration certificate, minority certificate, etc. The State GIAC recommendation form, duly signed, should be submitted and in the absence of compliance of the requisite conditions, the proposals will not be considered. It was further pointed out that all the proposals should be scrutinized thoroughly by the State GIAC Committee. Only proposals where minority institutions have insufficient infrastructure, and are really in need of the same, should be forwarded. It is also noticed that some of the certificates are submitted in regional languages and, therefore, it is necessary that the relevant certificates are either in Hindi or English, or else certified copies of the translation of the certificates should be provided, duly authenticated by the appropriate
authority. It is also desirable that the States verify their proposals and check the institutions/madarsas before forwarding their proposals.

4. Secretary (SE&L) pointed out that the CGIAC meeting should be held once in a year before the beginning of the year, and in case there is availability of funds, one more meeting of CGIAC can be held mid-year. During evaluation of a proposal under IDMI for sanction of a hostel building for girls, existence of a Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBV), which are residential upper primary schools for girls, in the vicinity of schools being proposed for sanction of a hostel building should be checked and need for proposal for hostel should be re-examined.

5. Secretary (SE&L) observed that a data base for the madarsas being funded be maintained. A DISE (District Information System of Education) code should be obtained for each madarsa which imparts formal education and in the absence of a DISE code for any madarsa, a state specific unique ID number may be assigned to the madarsa so as to avoid duplication. He further observed that instead of sending proposals for madarsas in different lots, the proposals should be combined into one lot by the States so as to avoid any duplication.

6. The following agenda items were discussed-

**Agenda 1:** Confirmation of the minutes of the meeting held on 10th March, 2015.

The Committee confirmed the minutes of the meeting held on 10th March, 2015 and no objection was received from any quarter.

**Agenda 2:** Action Taken Report (ATR) on the minutes of the meeting held on 10th March and Budget Provision.

Action Taken Report was noted by the Committee. The Committee noted that the Budget Provision for the SPQEM and IDMI for the financial year 2015-16 is Rs. 37500.00 lakh including Rs. 3750.00 lakh for NER. Out of this amount, an amount of Rs. 7159.9 lakhs has been released to State Governments under SPQEM and an amount of Rs. 39.58 lakh has been released under IDMI till date.

**Agenda 3:** Proposals for consideration of Central GIAC

The Committee was informed that the Department has received renewal and fresh proposals from State Governments of Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Kerala, Uttar Pradesh,
Madhya Pradesh, Tripura and Uttarakhand under SPQEM. The details of the renewal and fresh proposals and the decisions taken in the GIAC are as under:

(I) Scheme for Providing Quality Education in Madarsas (SPQEM)

RENEWAL PROPOSALS

(1) Uttar Pradesh

(i) 1813 (1891) Madrasas for the year 2015-16.

The Committee examined the renewal proposal in respect of 1813 madarsas for the year 2015-16 and approved the same for honorarium of 2099 Graduate Teachers and 2642 post graduate/ B.Ed teachers, as recommended by the Government of Uttar Pradesh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Salary for 2099 Graduate teachers @ Rs. 6,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>1511.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Salary for 2642 Post Graduate/B.Ed. teachers @ Rs. 12,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>3804.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5315.76</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) 1405 (1446) Madrasas for the year 2015-16.

The Committee examined the renewal proposal in respect of 1405 (1446) madarsas for the year 2015-16 and approved the same for honorarium of 2099 Graduate teachers and 2134 post graduate/ B.Ed. teachers, as recommended by the Government of Uttar Pradesh, subject to submission of Utilization Certificate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Salary for 2099 Graduate teachers @ Rs. 6,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>935.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Salary for 2134 Post Graduate/B.Ed. teachers @ Rs. 12,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>3072.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4008.24</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iii) 2039 (2108) Madrasas for the year 2015-16.

The Committee examined the renewal proposal in respect of 2039 (2108) madrasas for the year 2015-16 and approved the same for honorarium of 2229 Graduate teachers and 2689 post graduate/ B.Ed. teachers recommended by Government of Uttar Pradesh.
(Rs. in Lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Salary for 2229 Graduate teachers @ Rs. 6,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>1604.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Salary for 2689 Post Graduate/B.Ed teachers @ Rs. 12,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>3872.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5477.04</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iv) **672 Madrasas for the year 2015-16.**

The Committee noted that the partial Utilization Certificate for the release of first installment of 2014-15 has been received from the State Government of Uttar Pradesh. Since it was a fresh proposal of 2014-15 and the State Government has not fully utilized even the first installment, the Committee deferred the proposal, till the utilization certificate for the full amount of first installment is received.

(v) **805 (849) Madrasas for the year 2015-16.**

The Committee examined the renewal proposal for 805 (849) madarsas for the year 2015-16 and examined the same for honorarium of 927 Graduate teachers and 1088 post graduate/B.Ed. teachers as recommended by Government of Uttar Pradesh. It was noticed that the State Government utilized the first installment for the year 2014-2015 and submitted the Utilization Certificate for the same. Accordingly, the second installment has been released to the State Government. However, UC for the balance payment of first installment for 2014-2015 of Rs. 33.12 lakh (which was released separately) has not been received. Hence, the Committee approved the proposal for the year 2015-16 subject to the receipt of Utilization Certificate from the State Government.

(Rs in Lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Salary for 927 Graduate teachers @ Rs. 6,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>667.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Salary for 1088 Post Graduate/B.Ed. teachers @ Rs. 12,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>1566.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2234.16</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(vi) **273 Madrasas for the year 2015-16.**

The Committee examined the renewal proposal in respect of 273 madarsas for the year 2015-16 and approved the salary of 375 Graduate teachers and 369 post graduate/B.Ed. teachers, as recommended by Government of Uttar Pradesh, subject to receipt of Utilization Certificates from the State Government.
(vii) 438 (456) Madarsas for the year 2014-15

The Committee examined the proposal for 438 madrasas for the year 2014-15 for salary of 991 Graduate teachers and 127 Post graduate teachers with B.Ed. Since the year 2014-15 has lapsed, the proposal was rejected by the CGIAC. It was observed that only partial UC for the year 2013-14 has been received till date.

(viii) 456 Madrasas for the year 2015-16.

The Committee examined the renewal proposal for 456 madrasas for the year 2015-16. The Committee noticed that partial Utilization Certificate for 2013-14 has been received. The Committee however, approved the proposal for honorarium of 560 Graduate teachers and 558 post graduate/ B.Ed. teachers, as recommended by Government of Uttar Pradesh, subject to submission of balance Utilization Certificate at the earliest or else next year there would be no renewal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Salary for 560 Graduate teachers @ Rs. 6,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>403.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Salary for 558 Post Graduate/B.Ed. teachers @ Rs. 12,000 per month for 12 months</td>
<td>803.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1206.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Chhattisgarh

The Government of Chhattisgarh had forwarded a renewal proposal of 241 madrasas for placing the same in the Central GIAC for consideration. The proposal was examined and it was found that there was no such proposal of 241 madrasas from the Government of Chhattisgarh supported by the Department under SPQEM in the previous years and therefore, the proposal was not placed in the GIAC meeting for consideration. However, the matter was discussed with the State Government representative during the Central GIAC meeting held on 10th March, 2015 and it was informed by him that there was discrepancy in the number of madrasas in the proposal submitted to this Department. The State Government representative also submitted his clarification regarding
the number of madrasas. Accordingly, the State Government of Chhattisgarh had submitted a revised proposal in respect of 239 madrasas.

The Committee examined the proposal and it was noticed that a revised proposal has been forwarded by the State Government. However, the revised State GIAC recommendation form in respect of 239 proposals was submitted by the State representative to the Department of SE & L on 14th September 2015, just one day before the meeting, and had not been examined.

Secretary (SE&L) observed that all such State GIAC minutes should be submitted at least 15 days in advance before the meeting and such last moment submissions should not be made. The Committee had also observed that last minute proposals which have not been duly examined should not be considered. The Committee deferred this proposal to the next meeting of the GIAC.

(3) Madhya Pradesh

1683 (1490+194) Madrasas for the year 2015-16

The State Government of Madhya Pradesh had submitted a proposal for salary of 3345 Graduate/ Post Graduate teachers in respect of 1683 (1490+194) madrasas for 2015-16. The State Government also sought annual grant for maintenance of Library, Science/ Computer lab and for Science/ Computer lab and for Madarsa Board.

The Committee examined the proposal for 1683 madrasas for the year 2015-16 received from the Madhya Pradesh State Government and observed that the State GIAC recommendation form was not attached. Therefore, the State Government was directed to send the complete proposal. The Committee decided that this would be placed before the next meeting of Central GIAC Committee.

(4) Kerala

The State Government of Kerala has forwarded the proposal of 547 madrasas for 4 years from 2011-12 to 2014-15. However, as per the norms of the scheme, the State Government has to claim annually for the renewal grant. The Scheme guidelines also mandate "No claim for recurring grants will be admissible if such claim is not made within one year of the previous grant." The State Government has also not provided the Utilization Certificate and Audited Statement of accounts till date for the amount of Rs. 776.88 Lakh released as 2nd installment for the year 2010-11.
The Committee examined the proposals submitted by the State Government of Kerala. It was observed that the State Government has not provided the Utilization Certificate and Audited Statement of Account till date for an amount of Rs. 776.88 Lakh released as 2nd installment for the year 2010 and 2011. The scheme guidelines mandate that "No claim for recurring grants will be admissible if such claim is not made within one year of the previous grant." The Department of SE & L also wrote letter to the State Government of Kerala for the same vide this office letter no. 8-1/2010.EE.19 dated 12th August 2015 but no reply had been received from the State Government till the date of CGIAC meeting.

The State representative was asked as to why the UCs have not been received as yet and also to clarify the reason for submitting the proposals for the last four years during 2015-2016 itself. The State representative from Kerala Government explained that there were certain audit objections and the matters are being resolved.

The Committee made an observation that only full time madarsas can be given necessary grant and those working on holidays and on part time basis cannot be funded under SPQEM. Necessary Verification to this effect needs to be done by the State Government. The Committee decided that no further grant can be granted and Secretary (SE&L) directed that all pending UCs should be submitted.

(5) Uttarakhand

The State Government of Uttarakhand had submitted proposals in respect of 74 madarsas & 50 madarsas for the year 2015-16 and the proposals in respect of 35 and 35 (32+3) madarsas for the year 2014-15 and 2015-16. It was observed that the proposal was not recommended by the State GIAC.

The above-mentioned proposals for 2014-15 and 2015-16 were considered by the Committee. The Committee deferred the proposals of 74 & 50 madarsas for the year 2015-16 and 35 and 35 (32+3) madarsas for the year 2014-15 and 2015-16 as it was not duly recommended by SGIAC.

(6) Tripura

The proposal for 129 madarsas for the year 2015-16 was considered by the Committee. The State Government has sought grant for salary of 235 Graduate and 94 Post Graduate/ Graduate with B.Ed alongwith annual grant for maintenance of Library/ Book Bank for the Madarsa Board. It was observed that number of teachers for the year 2015-16 for whom grant is being sought is higher than the number of teachers of previous years.
Accordingly, the State Government was requested vide this office letter no. 8-22/2015-EE.19 dated 8th September 2015 to forward the list of madarsas alongwith no. of teachers in each madarsas and their educational qualification proposed to be covered under SPQEM scheme during 2015-16 since it was seen that no. of teachers for the year 2015-16 for whom grant is being sought was higher than the no. of teachers of previous year. However, no reply from the State Government was received.

The Committee therefore, directed that the proposal could be considered after receipt of the above said requisite clarification from the State Government. Moreover, the State Government representative was also not present during the meeting for giving necessary clarification. The Committee deferred the proposal for 129 madarsas for the year 2015-16.

(7) Jharkhand

The Government of Jharkhand had forwarded the renewal proposals for the year 2013-14 and for 2014-15 for 110 madarsas. The proposal was placed before the previous meeting of CGIAC held on 10.3.2015 but could not be considered due to absence of the State Government representative, and the same was deferred.

The proposal of 110 madarsas was out of the 164 madarsas which were granted financial assistance during the year 2009-10. However, an amount of Rs. 24,81,890/- remained still unutilized with the State Government as on date out of the released amount of Rs. 4,97,18,000/-. The Department did not receive any renewal proposal after that and prior to this for the renewal grant. Meanwhile the State Government has forwarded that proposal for the year 2015-16 also.

The Committee deferred the proposals of 110 madarsas submitted by the State of Jharkhand for the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. The Committee observed that the UCs should be forwarded by the State Government in time. In this case, the approval of the State GIAC should be obtained and the proposals should be submitted after obtaining recommendation of the State Grant in Aid Committee.

Fresh Proposals

(1) Uttarakhand

34 (26+8) Madarsa for the year 2014-15
A Proposal for salary of 28 Graduate/Graduate with B.Ed and Post Graduate teachers and 49 post Graduate teachers with B.Ed teachers recommended by the Government of Uttarakhand was placed before the CGIAC. The grant has been sought for Science/Computer lab, Science/Maths kits, Library book bank and for teachers training also. The State Government has also sought assistance for annual grant for library book bank and science/computer lab maintenance.

The Committee observed that the State GIAC recommendations has been received only on 11.09.2015. It was again reiterated by Secretary (SE&L) that all the relevant documents should be sent about a fortnight in advance before the meeting to enable a proper examination. Accordingly, the Committee deferred the proposal for 34 madarsas for the year 2014-15.

(2) Kerala

828 Madarsa for the year 2014-15

A Proposal of 828 madarsas for salary of 1106 Graduate and 1227 Post Graduate and Post Graduate with B.Ed. teachers recommended by the Government of Kerala was placed before the CGIAC. The State Government had also sought one time grant for Science/Computer lab, Science/Math kits, Library book bank and for teachers training also. The State Government had also mentioned in its proposal that all the madarsas in the State were functioning only on part time basis or on holidays only, since all students are attending mainstream education in the Govt./Aided/unaided schools of the State. The madarsas are arranging additional training to the students belonging to the Muslim Community, either in the evening or the holidays. The State Government had also not provided break-up of the no. of Graduate and Post Graduate teachers and the grant sought for these teachers and for other components. Further, the State GIAC recommendation form had also not been forwarded alongwith the proposals. The Department had also written to the State Government for forwarding the State GIAC recommendations with respect to the said proposal vide this office letter no. 8-14/2015 dated 4th August 2015. However, no reply has been received from the State Government.

Since the State government had not provided break-up of the number of graduate and post graduate teachers and the details of grant sought for these teachers and for other components, the Committee directed that the necessary clarification/documents may please be submitted to facilitate examination of the proposal.
The Committee decided to defer the proposal of 828 madarsas for the year 2014-15 and directed that the necessary clarification/documents may please be submitted.

(3) Uttar Pradesh

1506 Madarsa for the year 2015-16

The State Government of Uttar Pradesh had forwarded a proposal for 1506 madarsas for salary of 1808 Graduate and 2493 Post Graduate, Graduate with B.Ed and Post Graduate with B.Ed teachers. The grant was sought for one time assistance for Science/ Computer lab, Science/ Maths kit, Library book bank and for teachers training also. However, the State Government had not forwarded the recommendation form of the State GIAC till the date of CGIAC meeting. The State Government had also sought grant for more than 3 teachers for same madarsas.

The Committee considered the proposal and directed that the State GIAC recommendation should be forwarded and all madarsas names along with details about teachers should be given. For each madarsa, the DISE code must be mentioned. If the same is not available a unique code must be developed for the madarsa being proposed for assistance under the scheme.

The Committee deferred the proposal of new 1506 madarsas for the year 2015-16 for the next meeting.

Agenda 4 Infrastructure Development (Private Aided/Unaided) of Minority Institutes (Elementary Secondary/ Senior Secondary Schools) (IDMI):

1. Arunachal Pradesh:
   
The State Govt. had forwarded two proposals under IDMI scheme for financial assistance for infrastructure development which were placed and considered in the previous meeting of CGIAC held on 10th March, 2015.

   At that meeting, the Committee had directed the State representative to give directions to the institutions, namely, Siang Model School, At Pasighat, Post Pasighat District East Siang, AP-791102 and Buddha Culture & Education Foundation School, Lumla Tawang District, AP, to deposit 25% share in their account first.
The State Government representative informed that the amount has been deposited by the Institutes. The committee observed that the minority certificate submitted was from district level. It should be certified by an officer designated for this purpose by the State Government. The case was deferred for the next meeting and it was directed that an appropriate minority certificate should be submitted.

2. Manipur:

The Govt. of Manipur had forwarded 1 proposal under IDMI for consideration of Central GIAC. It was placed in the previous meeting held on 10th March of Central GIAC and was deferred in absence of a State Govt. representative.

The State representative was present in the meeting this time. However, the State GIAC form has not been signed by the Secretary of the SGIAC.

The Committee deferred that the proposal with the direction that the State GIAC recommendation should be submitted again and the proposal should be complete in all respects.

3. Nagaland:

The Govt. of Nagaland had forwarded 5 proposals under IDMI for consideration of Central GIAC which were placed in the previous meeting, held on 10th March, 2015 but was deferred in absence of the State Govt. representative. The proposal also lacked certain requisite certificates such as School Registration Certificate, Society Registration Certificate, Minority Status Certificate and signed State GIAC recommendation form, etc.

Therefore, the State Government was requested to forward these documents but no reply has been received from the state Govt. till date. In the present meeting also, no state representative from the state was present.

The proposal was deferred with the direction that all such proposals should be submitted again complete in all respects.

4. Sikkim:

The Govt. of Sikkim has forwarded the following 13 proposals under IDMI for consideration of CGIAC. The Committee considered all the 13 proposals and the representative of the Sikkim State Government mentioned that as regards the institutions at Sr. no. 13, the request was for
construction of a girl’s hostel. The Committee approved the following proposal with the observation that 25% of the amount is to be deposited first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>Name of the Voluntary Organisation</th>
<th>Children Enrolment</th>
<th>Student Classroom Ratio (SCR) (1:40)</th>
<th>Infrastructure available</th>
<th>Amount proposed</th>
<th>75% of the proposed amounts (restricted to a maximum of Rs. 50 lakhs)</th>
<th>50% amount to be released as 1st installment</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>C. room recommended as per Student Classroom Ratio (SCR) (1:49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>St. Joseph’s School, Martam, P.O. Gangkha, Via Singtam, East Sikkim 737134</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18 rooms own building</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>49.97</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>Const. of Girls Hostel</td>
<td>All Certificates available</td>
<td>Hostel Building approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In case of remaining 12 proposals, some of the proposals are not eligible as per the prescribed norms of Student Classroom Ratio (SCR), i.e., 40:1 and others are not eligible due to absence of requisite certificates.

7. The Committee deferred all the other proposals with the direction that since most of the proposals were incomplete, without proper certificates, therefore, these proposal would be taken in the next CGIAC after complete proposals duly recommended by the State GIAC are received.

8. At the conclusion, Chairman, CGIAC summed up as under:

IDMI:

1. All proposals should be recommended by the State GIAC as per the scheme. It is the responsibility of the State GIAC to check that the proposals are complete in all respects. If necessary, physical verification of the institutions should also be carried out.

2. The proposals should be submitted by State GIAC in order of priority. The most deserving cases should be given preference during evaluation as already stipulated in the scheme.

3. Existence of a Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBV) in the vicinity should be taken into consideration before recommending a girls hostel building.

4. The minority certificate should be submitted by the competent authority/authorized officer of the State Government/UT.

5. In case of proposals for IDMI, the number of existing toilets should be given.

6. The State authorities should examine and scrutinize the proposal in advance thoroughly so that the proposals are complete and are as per specified guidelines of the scheme.

12
7. In so far as Society Registration Certificate is concerned, duly authenticated photocopy of the actual registration certificate issued by the competent authority as declared by the State Govt./UT should be submitted.

**SPQEM:**

8. All proposals, fresh as well as renewal, should be recommended by the State GIAC.

9. The proposals under SPQEM should be submitted under one madarsas lot only and separate lots should be avoided in order to avoid duplication and to facilitate processing by the GOI.

10. The State Governments should confirm that the proposal for honorarium of teachers be restricted to 3 teachers per madarsa and certify this fact in the proposal itself.

11. Basic data regarding number of students / teachers should be available with the proposals under SPQEM.

12. UDISE code should be given with all Madarsas

13. The MHRD will organize a workshop to share scheme guidelines on both IDMI and SPQEM and advise on submission of proposals.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.