

✓

MHRD
Department of Higher Education

**Summary record of discussion of the Ninth Project Approval Board (PAB)
Meeting of the Pandit Madan Mohan Malviya National Mission on Teachers
and Teaching (PMMMNTT) held on 12th July, 2017**

The Ninth Project Approval Board (PAB) Meeting of the Pandit Madan Mohan Malviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching (PMMMNTT) was held under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HE) MHRD on Wednesday, 12th July at 11.00 AM to consider proposals received from various institutions for the different components of the Scheme. Secretary (SE), MHRD could not attend the meeting and was represented by Shri Ajay Tirkey, JS (EE), MHRD. The list of members is at **Annexure I.**

2. Secretary (HE) welcomed the PAB members and the participating representatives of various universities/institutes attending the meeting. He briefed about the two major recommendation of the Group of Secretaries (GoS) relating to induction training of faculty and developing Academic Leadership. He hoped that these critical activities which are aimed at improving the quality of academic institutions by addressing training needs of faculty and developing better governance will be appropriately taken forward through the approved centers of PMMMNTT. Funding of these activities will be out of grants released to these Centres under the Scheme. He said that while the Scheme has All India coverage, we need to think of engaging with State Governments as the bulk of institutions are with the State Govt.

3. The Minutes of the Eighth PAB meeting held on 24th January, 2017 were read and confirmed.

4. The Chair invited JS (P) to start the proceedings. Thereafter, the proposing institutions were invited to make a presentation on the proposals submitted. A total of 12 proposals from 11 institutions were being considered in this PAB meeting as shown at **Annexure II.**

5. The Ninth PAB Meeting considered the following proposals and their observation /comments are as below:

S. No.	Name of the proposing Institution	Component applied for	Decision of the PAB
i.	Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (UP)	School of Education	Approved in principle. The infrastructure (capital) cost of Rs. 2.5 crore that has been proposed is not to be supported as the PAB is of the view that existing infrastructure could be used. For recurring cost, they need to comply with

			<p>HRDC norms. The University needs to resubmit their proposal with revised budget. (Revised proposal received incorporating suggested changes.)</p>
ii.	<p>Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh</p>	<p>School of Education</p>	<p>Approved in principle subject to revised proposal being in line with the decision of PAB.</p> <p>It was observed that the University was having Rs. 36 crore as unutilized funds which was released through UGC. If the University can clarify the updated status of UGC funds, whether they have been ear-marked or are available. In case UGC funds have already been committed for other civil works, then their request can be considered. Accordingly, they need to rework on their proposal and submit clarifications. The University was advised to clearly spell out the implementation strategy, conduct more training programs annually, with a larger clientele group.</p> <p>Comments on revised proposal: Revised proposal received with clarification that Rs.36 crore of UGC funds has already been committed under different infrastructural works. Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya was asked to omit capital component as Rs. 36 cr. of UGC grant (for capital) was unspent with them. They have resubmitted the same proposal saying that Rs. 36 cr. is already committed for other construction. They have asked for Rs. 5 cr. under PMMMNMTT. Their proposal for civil construction was briefly discussed in PAB. They have indicated only area (1625 sq. m.) under PMMMNMTT, without mentioning any purpose for which the building will be used/ constructed. Further, in the revised proposal submitted too, there is a mismatch in the area proposed to be constructed within the proposal as indicated at two different pages. Also, one single structure with multiple floors cannot have floor-wise different sources of funding. This discussion was not continued as it was</p>

			decided that no capital cost of civil construction will be granted to them. Since, they require capital cost, it is better to sent our observations to them and defer the item for the next meeting. Hence, deferred.
iii.	Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeeth, New Delhi (New Delhi)	Teaching Learning Centre	Approved. Since the Scheme does not provide for creation of regular posts, it was suggested to reduce number of the contractual teaching staff and accordingly reduce the proposed recurring budget. (Revised Proposal received incorporating above remarks)
iv.	Ramanujan College, New Delhi (New Delhi)	Teaching Learning Centre	Approved. The college needs to rework the proposal so as to restructure proposed training programs as induction training for the newly recruited faculty members. Further, it was suggested that arrangements be made to record the teacher training programs which may be used by other institutions too. Also, the annual number of training programs could be enhanced. (Revised Proposal received incorporating suggested changes)
v.	Post Graduate College, Ghazipur (UP)	Teaching Learning Centre	TLC is not approved but Approved for conducting National Workshop. It was suggested that the college can submit a proposal for conducting National Workshop with total fund of Rs. 10 lacs (as per the revised Ministry of Finance guidelines). Revised proposal received for National Workshop , which is approved.
vi.	Central University of Punjab	Teaching Learning Centre	Not Approved. The proposal seeks to cover several disciplines and hence greater coordination within the University departments would require endorsement by the Vice-Chancellor and a senior faculty to lead the project. Accordingly, the University needs to resubmit the proposal after detailed internal discussions.
vii.	Central University Rajasthan	Teaching Learning Centre	Decision deferred. The proposal was earlier examined in the 8 th PAB meeting where it was suggested that the cost for studio theatre (of Rs. 4.0 crore) should be reduced. Though they have reduced their cost to 1.99 crore, the PAB still feels that the University has a lot of infrastructure

			and hence are not agreed to capital costs.
viii.	Savitri Bai Phule Pune University, Pune	Teaching Learning Centre	Approved. (Revised proposal with details of training programmes & infrastructure plan received but no change in budget estimates, hence approval will be limited to approved limits as per guidelines.)
		Faculty Development Centre	Approved in principle with substantially reduced capital costs as the University has a HRDC. It was suggested that they will rework their proposal accordingly minimizing their infrastructural requirement as they already have a UGC HRDC thereby reducing the non-recurring costs. Since FDC would cater to different domains through their refresher & capacity building programmes, greater detailing of the outcomes/deliverables needs to be specified. Comments on revised proposal: Though a revised proposal has been received, the above observations of PAB are not factored. Hence it is deferred to the next meeting.
ix.	Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeeth, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh	Subject Based Network	Approved.
x.	IIM Indore	Centre for Academic Leadership and Education Management (CALEM)	Approved in principle It was suggested that they should have more training programs. Hence, a revised proposal after a rework on the total budget for implementing the component is to be submitted. Revised proposal has not yet been received. A decision may be taken on file.
xi.	IIT Roorkee	Centre for Academic Leadership and Education Management (CALEM)	Not Approved. The non-recurring costs as well as the recurring costs must be within the scheme guidelines. It was advised that they resubmit the revised proposal.

6. Further, based on the revised proposals received from approved institutions with reworked costs as per recommendations of the PAB, the approved budget for is shown at **Annexure III.**

7. The Chair complimented the Bureau for engaging with a large number of institutions for enhancing teacher capacities and improving the quality of teaching and learning. The Chair made the following observations- State Universities may be encouraged to submit proposals under various components of the scheme; the PAB could take place more frequently; and the centers are encouraged to reduce the infrastructural cost and optimum utilization of the resources.

The meeting concluded with a Vote of Thanks to the Chair.

✓

Annexure I

List of PAB members who attended the 9th PAB Meeting

S.No.	Name	Ministry/Department
1.	Shri Kewal K. Sharma	Secretary (HE), MHRD (In-Chair)
2.	Prof. Dr. J.S. Sandhu	Secretary, UGC
3.	Prof. N.V. Varghese	Vice Chancellor, NUEPA
4.	Prof. M.P. Poonia	Vice Chairman, AICTE
5.	Shri Anil Shukla	Deputy Secretary, NCTE
6.	Dr. K.P. Wasnik	Additional Commissioner,
7.	Dr. A. Mukhopadhyay	Scientist' G' , DST
8.	Dr. Aquib Javed	Joint Director, DGE / M/o Labour & Employment
9.	Smt. Alpana Dey	Sc 'G', DeITy
10.	Shri Rakesh Ranjan	JS(P/ICC), MHRD
11.	Shri Ajay Tirkey	JS, EE-I, MHRD
12.	Dr. Shakila T. Shamsu	OSD, MHRD
13.	Shri Raju Mishra	Asst. Secretary, MHRD
14.	Shri Fazal Mahmood	DS (Finance), MHRD
15.	Shri M.K. Pandey	US PN-II, MHRD
16.	Shri Arun Kumar	US (IFD), MHRD

Annexure II

List of Institutional participants who attended the 9th PAB Meeting

S.No	Proposing Institution	Name of the Representative
1.	Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh	(i) Prof. Sajid Jamal, Professor
2.	Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, Chattisgarh	(ii) Prof. Chandrashekhar Vazalwar, Head, Dept. of Education
3.	Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Rastriya Sanskrit Vidyapeeth, New Delhi	(i) Dr. Amita Pandey Bhardwaj, Associate Prof. (ii) Prof. R.P. Pathak, Professor (iii) Prof. R.K. Pandey, Vice-Chancellor
4.	Ramanujan College, Delhi University	(i) Dr. S. P. Aggarwal, Principal (ii) Dr. Nikhil Rajput, Assistant Professor (iii) Dr. Vibhas Kumar, Assistant Professor
5.	Post Graduate College, Ghazipur	(i) Dr. Pramod Kumar, Associate Professor (ii) Shri A.K. Siroh, Associate Professor (iii) Shri Amitesh Kumar Singh, OSD, IQAC Cell
6.	Central University of Punjab, Bathinda	(i) Dr. Vinod Arya, Assistant Professor
7.	Central University of Rajasthan	(i) Dr. Chandra Sekhar Gahan, Assistant Professor (ii) Prof. Manish Dev Shrimali, Professor



		(iii) Dr. Jogeswar Panigrahi, Associate Professor
8.	Savitri Bai Phule Pune University, Pune	(i) Prof. Dipalee Malkhede, Professor (ii) Prof. Shraddha Kumbhojkar, Assistant Professor
9.	Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeeth, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh	(i) Prof. V. Muralidhara Sharma, Vice Chancellor (ii) Prof. Pralhad R. Joshi, Professor
10.	Indian Institute of Management, Indore	(i) Prof. Prashant Salwan, Prof. Strategic Management & IB
11.	IIT Roorkee	(i) Prof. B. Mohanty, Professor (ii) Prof. Rajib Lochan Dhar

✓

Annexure-III

Approved Budget details of the approved Institutes in 9th PAB

(Amt. Rs. in crore)

Sr. No.	Name of the Institution and Component	Costs limit as per Guidelines		Revised approved budget	
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Non-recurring	Recurring
1	Aligarh Muslim University (UP)- School of Education	7.50 (with ceiling of Rs. 5.41 crore for civil works)	2.00 p.a	0.49	1.29 (p.a.)
2	Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidypeeth, Teaching Learning Centre	4.28 (with ceiling of Rs. 3.90 crore for civil works)	1.10 p.a	1.28	0.76 p.a
3	Ramanujan College, New Delhi-Teaching Learning Centre	4.28 (with ceiling of Rs. 3.90 crore for civil works)	1.10 p.a	0.50	1.18 p.a This will be limited to Rs.1.10 cr (p.a) as per the Guidelines
4	Post Graduate College Ghazipur (UP)- National Workshop		National workshop with limit of Rs.10.00 lakhs		0.097
5	Savitri Bai Phule Pune University- Teaching Learning Centre	4.28 (subject to the ceiling of Rs. 3.90 crore for civil works)	1.10 (p.a)	4.30 This will be limited to Rs.4.28 cr. as per the Guidelines	1 st yr: 1.35 2 nd yr: 1.15 3 rd Yr. 1.15 This will be limited to Rs.1.10 cr. (per annum) as per the Guidelines
6	Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeeth, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh- Subject based Network in Ayurveda	For computer related hardware, a sum of Rs. 0.24 crore has been provided for non-recurring costs.		0.00	0.24